

# Aerospatiale AS332L, G-PUMA

## AAIB Bulletin No: 10/97 Ref: EW/C97/3/2 Category: 2.1

<b>Aircraft Type and Registration:</b>	Aerospatiale AS332L, G-PUMA
<b>No &amp; Type of Engines:</b>	2 Turbomeca Makila 1A turboshaft engines
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>	1983
<b>Date &amp; Time (UTC):</b>	6 March 1997 at 1440 hrs
<b>Location:</b>	Santa Fe Galaxy oil rig and Aberdeen Airport
<b>Type of Flight:</b>	Public Transport
<b>Persons on Board:</b>	Crew - 2 - Passengers - 16
<b>Injuries:</b>	Crew - None - Passengers - None
<b>Nature of Damage:</b>	Loss of 5 bolts and damage to 4 tail rotor blades
<b>Commander's Licence:</b>	Air Transport Pilot's Licence (Helicopters)
<b>Commander's Age:</b>	45 years
<b>Commander's Flying Experience:</b>	7,300 hours total (of which 5,600 were on type) Last 90 days - 71 hours Last 28 days - 61 hours
<b>Information Source:</b>	AAIB Field Investigation

The helicopter was being operated from Aberdeen Airport to a 'jack-up' oil rig which was being towed towards its intended position in the North Sea. The sector was some 20 minutes in duration and, after disembarking the 16 passengers, the First Officer performed a short 'walk-around' inspection, with the rotors running. During this inspection he noted that four bolts were missing from the right-hand side of the fairing which covers the inclined portion of the tail rotor drive shaft (Figure 1). The crew considered the alternatives and elected to fly the aircraft back to Aberdeen as there was no evidence of unusual vibration or any other aircraft problem. The return flight was without passengers and at Aberdeen the aircraft was shut down. It was found that five bolts were now missing, with a further bolt found to be loose, and that there was damage consistent with bolt contact with the leading edges of four of the five tail rotor blades. Replay of the HUMS (Health & Usage Monitoring System) confirmed that the blade damage had not resulted in a detectable change in vibration and the helicopter manufacturer subsequently confirmed that all of the blades were repairable and none had suffered any significant structural damage.

## **Recent maintenance**

The most recent maintenance input had been during the previous night (5 March) and the helicopter had since had a ground run and seven flight sectors before any bolts were noted as missing; there is no evidence as to the time of release of the first four bolts. The maintenance actions had included the scheduled inspection of the tail rotor drive shafts (mandatory Service Bulletin 05.37), repeated every 50 flight hours. This inspection requires the removal of the fairings over the tail rotor drive shaft, including the inclined fairing, No 8. This fairing is secured by a total of 19 bolts on the left and right-hand sides. The bolts secure the fairing, which is of composite construction, to a pair of long brackets mounted on the transmission deck and the threaded portions of the bolts are retained in 'stiffnut' self-locking nuts, anchored by rivets to these brackets. The configuration is shown in Figure 1. The 'stiffnuts' are of the metal-to-metal variety, in which the portion of the thread furthest from the anchor lugs is deformed into a slight ellipse, designed to produce a consistent and controlled elastic deformation every time the thread of the bolt passes through this portion of the nut.

This arrangement, with multiple bolts and anchored stiffnuts, had been introduced by a recommended Service Bulletin (SB 53.61) in 1986, replacing the previous design in which the left-hand side of the fairing was hinged and the right-hand side secured by over-centre type clasps (SARMA fasteners). The earlier configuration had led to significant service difficulties in the UK and elsewhere and AS 332L G-TIGD was destroyed in an accident at Aberdeen Airport on 4 July 1983, when a hinge pin became detached in flight, leading to the loss of the fairing, damage to the tail rotor blades and consequent loss of the entire tail rotor gearbox. The accident to G-TIGD was the subject of a detailed AAIB investigation, culminating in the accident report, AAR 4/84.

The work on G-PUMA on the night of 5 March had been performed by an experienced technician during a routine 2100-0700 hrs night shift, the last night of a block of six in a 'semi-permanent' night shift pattern. The technician and his supervisor both stated that the re-fitting of the fairing, including the securing bolts, would have been performed at about midnight and had been entirely straightforward and unhurried. The technician stated that he had been well-rested, was fit and had applied the normal technique of installing the bolts with a small ratchet spanner as there is no installation torque specified.

## **Further investigation**

Detailed investigation after the incident concentrated on the anchored 'stiffnuts', which had been replaced with new hardware after the incident. Simple tests showed that these stiffnuts had lost almost all of their self-locking function and that the bolts from G-PUMA could be run through the thread of the nut using light finger pressure only. More detailed investigation showed that this loss of the self-locking function was caused by a combination of wear on the nut and bolt. Thus, an 'old' bolt in an 'old' nut could be run through with finger pressure, whereas either an 'old' bolt/'new' nut or 'new' bolt/'old' nut combination would provide an intermediate degree of locking.

There was no evidence that either bolts or nuts from G-PUMA had been replaced since the implementation of the Service Bulletin in 1986, neither was there any indication in the maintenance documentation that either should be changed. In practice, it had clearly been possible to achieve a satisfactory torque at re-installation but this had latterly been achieved totally by compression of the material between the bolt head and the nut, rather than by the action of the 'stiffnut'. The washers installed under the bolt heads were also found to be 'dished' by permanent plastic deformation. This further illustrated that the apparent locking torque was being achieved by

deformation of the material sandwiched between the bolt head and the nut without the self-locking action of the 'stiffnut'.

At the time of the incident, G-PUMA had accumulated a total of some 16,260 flight hours, with the tail rotor drive shaft fairings removed at least every 50 flight hours for scheduled inspection. The technicians confirmed that loose bolts had been noted on a number of previous occasions and that the action had simply been to re-torque them; the matter had thus not been taken further and had not become an issue for the company's Quality Assurance department.

A number of manufacturers of this form of generic anchor stiffnut were contacted by AAIB. They confirmed that this type of stiffnut would generally be manufactured to the controlling specification of the United States' Military Standard ('Mil Std') MIL-N-25027 or to a similar European specification. In this case, the helicopter manufacturer's standard was confirmed as being derived from MILN25027. The 'Intended Use' paragraph of the MIL-N-25027 standard applies, in general, a reusability limit of 15 cycles. However, civil airworthiness authorities, including the CAA, normally accept multiple re-uses providing a periodic check is made of the locking quality, performed by a simple 'run-down' check of the torque required to run the bolt through the stiffnut: CAA guidance is given in Leaflet 25 of the CAA's 'Civil Aircraft Airworthiness Information and Procedures' (CAAIP). The manufacturer of the AS332 notes that similar criteria are also detailed in the manufacturer's "Standard Practices Manual" (Chap 20.02.05.404).

Following the incident the operator took the initial step of wire-locking the bolt heads and subsequently replacing the nuts and bolts with new items. On 13 March 1997, following the incident to GPUMA, the CAA requested operators to apply a check of the nuts throughout the UK AS332 fleet and accepted an operators' suggestion to replace the anchor stiffnuts at 3,600 hour intervals. The operator of GPUMA amended their maintenance schedule to include this periodic replacement but did not include an amendment to ensure periodic checking of the self-locking function.

## **Recommendations**

The following Safety Recommendations have been made to the CAA:

### **Recommendation 97-38**

It is recommended that the CAA ensure that periodic checking of stiffnut locking function is applied within the maintenance schedule for all removable panels on the AS332L.

### **Recommendation 97-39**

It is recommended that the CAA should formally remind operators, engineers and maintenance organisations of their responsibility in the routine checking and maintenance of stiffnuts and other self-locking fasteners.