

# Fournier RF4D, G-AWGN, 24 May 1997

## AAIB Bulletin No: 8/97 Ref: EW/G97/05/13 Category: 1.3

<b>Aircraft Type and Registration:</b>	Fournier RF4D, G-AWGN
<b>No &amp; Type of Engines:</b>	1 Rectimo 4AR-1200 piston engine
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>	1968
<b>Date &amp; Time (UTC):</b>	24 May 1997 at 1234 hrs
<b>Location:</b>	Gloucestershire Airport
<b>Type of Flight:</b>	Private
<b>Persons on Board:</b>	Crew - 1 - Passengers - None
<b>Injuries:</b>	Crew - None - Passengers - N/A
<b>Nature of Damage:</b>	Upper main landing gear member failure
<b>Commander's Licence:</b>	Private Pilot's Licence
<b>Commander's Age:</b>	53 years
<b>Commander's Flying Experience:</b>	650 hours (of which 197 were on type)  Last 90 days - 7 hours  Last 28 days - 2 hours
<b>Information Source:</b>	Aircraft Accident Report Form submitted by the pilot, telephone enquiries and metallurgical examination of component

After a normal flight, the aircraft was landed on Runway 04 at Gloucestershire Airport; the reported wind at the time of landing was 090°/15 kt.

The pilot reported that the landing was gentle, the aircraft did not bounce, nor did it experience into-wind wing lift. The pilot noted, however, that there was a slight sideways check at touchdown which indicated to him that he had not 'kicked off' drift correctly.

Whilst taxiing back to the aircraft parking area, the pilot observed that it was more difficult than usual and attributed this to the aircraft's tendency to 'weathercock'. Later, however, having parked the aircraft and completed the paperwork, as he walked back towards it, the pilot noticed that the main landing gear wheel was slanted to the left.

Inspection by a maintenance engineer revealed that one arm of the upper member of the main landing gear had completely fractured. The component was forwarded to the AAIB and subsequently sent for metallurgical examination. This revealed that the cast aluminium alloy component had failed in two stages as a result of two separate overload events. The first stage, originating from casting defects, had occurred some time ago and had resulted in a crack which ran part way across the casting cross-section. This part of the failure had become dirty and discoloured and no consequent fatigue cracking had occurred at the limit of this first crack, despite its being a significant stress concentration. The ultimate failure had started at the limit of the old crack and had extended right across the remaining cross-section in one event. (See diagram).

The area from which the failure had initiated contained casting shrinkage porosity voids which had broken the surface of the casting. The aluminium alloy from which the casting was made (AU5G) conformed to specification.