

## **Slingsby T61A, G-AZHD**

**AAIB Bulletin No: 1/97 Ref: EW/G96/09/22 Category: 1.3**

<b>Aircraft Type and Registration:</b>	Slingsby T61A, G-AZHD
<b>No &amp; Type of Engines:</b>	1 Stark-Stamo MS 1500/1 piston engine
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>	1971
<b>Date &amp; Time (UTC):</b>	14 September 1996 at 0945 hrs
<b>Location:</b>	2 NM SE of Winthorpe Airfield
<b>Type of Flight:</b>	Private
<b>Persons on Board:</b>	Crew - 1 -Passengers - 1
<b>Injuries:</b>	Crew - None - Passengers - None
<b>Nature of Damage:</b>	Loss of Propeller and attachment flange
<b>Commander's Licence:</b>	Private Pilot's Licence with FI Rating
<b>Commander's Age:</b>	68 years
<b>Commander's Flying Experience:</b>	3,050 hours (of which 2,250 were on type)
	Last 90 days - 50 hours
	Last 28 days - 11 hours
<b>Information Source:</b>	Aircraft Accident Report Form submitted by the pilot together with telephone discussions with pilot and engineer

Whilst flying in the vicinity of the airfield circuit, shortly after take-off, the propeller separated. Shortly afterwards the pilot found himself in conditions of zero sink and was able to continue his glide and make an uneventful landing back at the airfield.

The engine in this aircraft design is an adaptation of the Volkswagen automobile engine and utilises a propeller attachment flange which is in turn fitted to the tapered end of the crankshaft by means of an internal taper and key, with corresponding keyways in both the flange and the crankshaft. The flange is secured by a central bolt.

The British Gliding Association inspector who maintained the aircraft reported that he had been aware for some time that the propeller was close to the specified run-out limit and he wanted to improve the situation. During a programme of work to correct an oil leak in the forward crankshaft area, he decided to have the face of the propeller flange machined to improve the propeller alignment. The machinist however, considered that the problem centred around the geometry of the

tapered portion of the flange unit and persuaded the inspector that light machining of the taper was appropriate. Once this was done, the propeller flange was re-fitted to the crankshaft and the propeller re-installed. A ground run was then carried out satisfactorily, although it was terminated earlier than the inspector would have wished, since no cylinder head temperature indication was available.

The incident is understood to have occurred on the subsequent flight. Investigation revealed that the machining of the taper had allowed the flange to move slightly further aft than hitherto when installed on the crankshaft bringing it into contact with the face of a gear positioned on the shaft. This prevented the taper within the flange from fully engaging the corresponding taper on the crankshaft. The layout of the end of the crankshaft and the crankcase made it difficult, after assembly, to identify that the two tapers were prevented from engaging fully. The tightening of the bolt secured the flange adequately for the short ground run but the lack of correct engagement of the tapers allowed slight relative movement, permitting the bolt to slacken during the subsequent full-power operation, thus releasing the flange and propeller.